U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-UT- G010-2013-0243 July 2013

Newfield APD GMBU 104-5-9-17

Location:

Section 32, T. 8 S., R 17 W.

Applicant/Address:

Newfield Production Company 10530 South County Road #33 Myton, Utah 84052

> U.S. Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management Vernal Field Office 170 S 500 E Vernal, UT 84078 Phone: 435-781-4400 Fax: 435-781-4410



FINDING OF NO SIGNIFICANT IMPACT

Newfield APD GMBU 104-5-9-17 Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-UT-G010-2013-0243

Finding of No Significant Impact:

Based on the analysis of potential environmental impacts contained in the attached environmental assessment, and considering the significance criteria in 40 CFR 1508.27, I have determined that the action will not have a significant effect on the human environment. An environmental impact statement is therefore not required.

Authorized Officer

Date

DECISION RECORD

Newfield APD GMBU 104-5-9-17 Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-UT-G010-2013-0243

Decision:

It is my decision to authorize Newfield Production Company's proposed split estate wells as described in the proposed action of Environmental Assessment DOI-BLM-UT-G010-2013-0206.

Well Identification	Legal Location	Lease
104-5-9-17	T. 8 S., R. 17 E. Section 32SWSW	UTU-020252

Summary of the Selected Alternative:

This decision includes the following components:

One directional well drilled from an existing pad on State surface. No new surface disturbance is associated with this well.

Conditions of Approval:

- All internal combustion equipment would be kept in good working order.
- Water or other approved dust suppressants would be used at construction sites and along roads, as determined appropriate by the Authorized Officer.
- Open burning of garbage or refuse would not occur at well sites or other facilities.
- Drill rigs would be equipped with Tier II or better diesel engines
- Low bleed pneumatics would be installed on separator dump valves and other controllers.
- During completion, not venting would occur, and flaring would be limited as much as
 possible. Production equipment and gathering lines would be installed as soon as
 possible.
- Telemetry will be installed to remotely monitor and control production.
- When feasible, two or more rigs (including drilling and completion rigs) will not be run simultaneously within 200 meters of each other. If two or more rigs must be run simultaneously within 200 meters of each other, then effective public health buffer zones out to 200 meters (m) from the nearest emission source will be implemented. Examples of an effective public health protection buffer zone include the demarcation of a public access exclusion zone by signage at intervals of every 250 feet that is visible from a distance of 125 feet during daylight hours, and a physical buffer such as active surveillance to ensure the property is not accessible by the public during drilling operations. Alternatively, the proponent may demonstrate compliance with the 1-hour NO2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) with appropriate and accepted near-field modeling. As part of this demonstration, the proponent may propose alternative mitigation that could include but is not limited to natural gas—fired drill rigs, installation of NOX controls, time/use restrictions, and/or drill rig spacing.
- All new and replacement internal combustion gas field engines of less than or equal to 300 design-rated horse power must not emit more than 2 grams of NOx per horsepowerhour. This requirement does not apply to gas field engines of less than or equal to 40 design-rated horsepower-hour.
- All new and replacement internal combustion gas field engines of greater than 300 design rated horsepower must not emit more than 1.0 grams of NOx per horsepower-hour.

• Green completions would be used for all well completion activities where technically feasible.

 Enhanced VOC emission controls with 95% control efficiency would be employed on production equipment having a potential to emit greater than 5 tons per year.

Colorado River fish Species:

 The best method to avoid entrainment is to pump from an off-channel location – one that does not connect to the river during high spring flows. An infiltration gallery constructed in a service approved location is best.

2. If the pump head is located in the river channel the following stipulations apply:

a. Do not situate the pump in a low-flow or no-flow area as these habitats tend to concentrate larval fishes.

- b. Limit the amount of pumping, to the greatest extent possible, during that period of the year when larval fish may be present (April 1 to August 1).
- c. Limit the amount of pumping, to the greatest extent possible, during the midnight hours (10pm to 2 am), as larval drift studies indicate that this is a period of greatest daily activity. Dusk is the preferred pumping time, as larval drift abundance is lowest during this time.
- 3. Screen all pump intakes with 3/32" mesh material.
- 4. Approach velocities for intake structures should follow the National Marine Fisheries Service's document "fish screening criteria for anadromous salmonids". For projects with an in-stream intake that operate in stream reaches where larval fish may be present, the approach velocity should not exceed 0.33 feet per second (ft/s).
- 5. Report any fish impinged on the intake screen or entrained into irrigation canals to the service (801.975.3330) or the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources:

Northeastern Region 152 East 100 North, Vernal, UT 84078 Phone: (435)781-9453

Rationale for the Decision:

The proposed wells and related facilities meet the BLM's purpose and need to allow the lessee to develop the subject mineral lease indicated above. The need for the action is established by 43 CFR 3162.3-1 and Onshore Oil and Gas Order No. 1 (43 CFR 3164.1) which requires BLM approval of APDs on a federal or Indian lease even with split estate (i.e. non-federal or non-Indian surface).

Well	Onsite Date	Landowner Attendance	Surface Owner	Surface Owner Agreement Signed
104-5-9-17	12/20/2012	No	Utah State Institutional Trust Lands Agency	NA

The above factors and the analysis contained in DOI-BLM-UT-G010-2013-0243 EA for Newfield Production Company's proposed wells were carefully considered and evaluated. In addition, the APD and surface owner agreement were reviewed. All reports were read and the information contained weighed in determining the appropriateness of the decision stated above.

Authorized Officer (signature)

Daté of signature

Appeals:

This decision is effective upon the date it is signed by the authorized officer. The decision is subject to appeal. Under BLM regulation, this decision is subject to administrative review in accordance with 43 CFR 3165. Any request for administrative review of this decision must include information required under 43 CFR 3165.3(b) (State Director Review), including all supporting documentation. Such a request must be filed in writing with the State Director, Bureau of Land Management, Utah State Office, P.O. Box 45155, Salt Lake City, Utah, 84145-0155, within 20 business days of the date this Decision is received or considered to have been received.

If you wish to file a petition for stay, the petition for stay should accompany your notice of appeal and shall show sufficient justification based on the following standards:

- (1) The relative harm to the parties if the stay is granted or denied;
- (2) The likelihood of the appellant's success on the merits;
- (3) The likelihood of irreparable harm to the appellant or resources if the stay is not granted, and;
- (4) Whether the public interest favors granting the stay.

ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT

CHAPTER 1 INTRODUCTION

INTRODUCTION

This Environmental Assessment (EA) has been prepared by the Bureau of Land Management Vernal Field Office to analyze Newfield's Application for Permit to Drill. The following well would be located on State lands and directionally drilled into Federal minerals.

Well Identification	Legal Location	Lease
104-5-9-17	T. 8 S., R. 17 E. Section 32SWSW	UTU-020252

The EA assists the BLM in ensuring compliance with the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), and in making a determination as to whether any "significant" impacts could result from the analyzed actions. While the BLM has no jurisdiction over surface impacts on these split estate lands, the surface operations (road, pipeline and well pad construction and maintenance) are connected actions to drilling and operating the wells, and must be analyzed as indirect effects of the BLM proposed action (BLM 2008, p. 47). Should the BLM be unable to find that the indirect impacts, either singularly or cumulatively, are not significant, an Environmental Impact Statement must be prepared prior to approving the APDs.

PURPOSE AND NEED FOR THE PROPOSED ACTION

The BLM decision to be made is whether or not to approve the APD. The purpose of the action is to allow the lessee to develop the Indian or mineral lease(s) indicated above. The need for the action is established by 43 CFR 3162.3-1 and Onshore Oil and Gas Order No. 1 (Federal Register 2007) which requires BLM approval of APDs on a federal or Indian lease even with split estate (i.e. non-federal or non-Indian surface).

SCOPING AND PUBLIC INVOLVMENT AND ISSUES

The Interdisciplinary Checklist contained within the Utah NEPA Guidebook was not completed for this EA because many of the resources/concerns included in it are not relevant to non-Federal surface. The relevant resources/concerns listed within Appendix 1 of the BLM NEPA Handbook were considered. The following resources/concerns were found to not be impacted to a degree requiring detailed analysis:

- Cultural Resources
- Native American Religious concerns
- Wastes, Hazardous or Solid
- Water Quality Drinking-Ground
- Floodplains
- Wetlands/Riparian Zones
- Migratory Birds

The following issues were identified:

Air Quality

Issue: Emissions from engines may contribute to degraded air quality in the Uintah Basin.

USFWS Threatened or Endangered Animal Species

Issue: Water used for drilling could contribute to depletion of habitat for Colorado River system endangered fish species.

USFWS Threatened or Endangered Plant Species

Issue: The proposed action would take place in Sclerocactus habitat.

Issue: The proposed action would contribute to water depletion, possibly adversely affecting T&E fish species in the Green River system.

CHAPTER 2 PROPOSED ACTION AND ALTERNATIVES

BLM resource specialists reviewed Newfield's Proposed Action and assessed the type and magnitude of potential impacts to the Project Area. Based on this review, the following alternatives were developed for analysis in this EA:

Alternative A –This alternative analyzes the impact of drilling one directional well from an existing pad.

Alternative B - No Action Alternative: Analysis of this alternative is provides a baseline for the impact analysis.

These alternatives are discussed in detail in this chapter

ALTERNATIVE A - PROPOSED ACTION

Specifically, the Proposed Action includes the following primary components:

One directional well drilled from an existing pad on State surface. No new surface disturbance is associated with this well.

Water Supply

Newfield anticipates that water would be used for dust suppression during construction and operational activities for a small percentage of the proposed project. Use of water for dust suppression would typically be performed under hot, windy, and/or dry conditions, and would depend on soil types and the moisture content of soils where activities are taking place. Dust suppression would most commonly be implemented during the summer months. Water-based dust abatement would be implemented using standard commercial water trucks, which hold approximately 130 barrels (bbls) of water (0.017 acre-feet).

Typically, 13,500 bbls (1.75 acre-feet) of water would be required to drill and complete an individual well. Water wells will not be drilled on the leases. Water for drilling the proposed wells would come from an underground water well (Johnson Water District - Water Right 43-10136), Neil Moon Pond (Water Right 43-11787), Tributary to Pleasant Valley Wash (Maurice Harvey Pond - Water Right 47-1358), or the Green River (Newfield Collector Well - Water Right 47-1817). Water would be hauled by a licensed trucking company.

PRODUCED WATER DISPOSAL

Upon completion of a productive well, all produced water would be confined to a steel storage tank. If the production water meets water quality standards, it would then be transported to the Ashley, Monument Butte, Jonah, South Wells Draw, or Beluga water injection facilities by company or contract trucks. The produced water would then be injected into approved Class II wells to enhance Newfield's secondary recovery water flood project. Water not meeting water quality standards would be disposed of at Newfield's Pariette No. 4 disposal well (Section 7, T. 9 S., R. 19 E.). Federally approved surface disposal facilities or at State of Utah approved surface disposal facilities.

NOXIOUS WEEDS

Newfield Production will control noxious weeds along rights-of-way for roads, pipelines, well sites or other applicable facilities.

WASTE MANAGEMENT

All Wells:

The existing pit will receive the processed drill cutting (wet sand, shale & rock) removed from the wellbore during drilling operations. The pit would be lined with 16 mil (minimum) thickness polyethylene nylon reinforced liner material. The liner(s) would overlay straw, dirt and/or bentonite if rock is encountered during excavation. The liner would overlap the pit walls and be covered with dirt and/or rocks to hold them in place. No trash, scrap pipe, or other materials that could puncture the liner would be discarded in the pit, and a minimum of two feet of free board would be maintained between the maximum fluid level and the top of the pit at all times.

The pit will be of sufficient size to contain all cuttings and drilling fluids generated in the drilling process.

A portable toilet will be provided for human waste.

A trash basket will be provided for garbage (trash) and hauled away to an approved disposal site at the completion of the drilling activities.

During the drilling and completion of the wells Newfield would not use, store transport or dispose 10,000 lbs annually of any of the hazardous chemicals contained in the Environmental Protection Agency's consolidated list of chemicals subject to reporting under Title III Superfund Amendments and Reauthorization Act (SARA) of 1986. Newfield also guarantees that during the drilling and completion of the referenced well, Newfield will use, produce, store, transport or dispose less that the threshold planning quantity (T.P.Q.) of any extremely hazardous substances as defined in 40 CFR 355.

Final Reclamation of Well Locations at the End of Project Life -

At such time as the well is plugged and abandoned, the operator shall submit a subsequent report of abandonment and the State of Utah will attach the appropriate surface rehabilitation conditions of approval.

ALTERNATIVE B NO ACTION

Under the no action alternative, the proposed well would not be drilled.

CHAPTER 3 AFFECTED ENVIRONMENT

GENERAL SETTING

The proposed well is located approximately 7 miles from Pleasant Valley, Utah. The elevation is 5200 feet. Vegetation ranges from a pinyon-juniper to a shrub stepp to a high desert scrub (Parrish 2002) in mostly clay loam soils.

AIR QUALITY

The Project Area is located in the Uinta Basin, a semiarid, mid-continental climate regime typified by dry, windy conditions, limited precipitation and wide seasonal temperature variations subject to abundant sunshine and rapid nighttime cooling. The Uinta Basin is designated as unclassified/attainment by the EPA under the Clean Air Act. This classification indicates that the concentration of criteria pollutants in the ambient air is below National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS), or that adequate air monitoring is not available to determine attainment.

NAAQS are standards that have been set for the purpose of protecting human health and welfare with an adequate margin of safety. Pollutants for which standards have been set include ground level ozone, (O₃), sulfur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen dioxide (NO₂), and carbon monoxide (CO), and particulate matter less than 10 microns in diameter (PM₁₀) or 2.5 microns in diameter (PM_{2.5}). Airborne particulate matter consists of tiny coarse-mode (PM₁₀) or fine-mode (PM_{2.5}) particles or aerosols combined with dust, dirt, smoke, and liquid droplets. PM_{2.5} is derived primarily from the incomplete combustion of fuel sources and secondarily formed aerosols, whereas PM₁₀ is primarily from crushing, grinding, or abrasion of surfaces. **Table 3-1** lists ambient air quality background values for the Uinta Basin and NAAQS standards.

Table 3-1. Ambient Air Quality Background Values

Pollutant	Averaging Period(s)	Uinta Basin Background Concentration (μg/m³)	NAAQS (μg/m³)
SO ₂	Annual 24-hour 3-hour	0.8 ² 3.9 ² 10.1 ²	-1 -1 1,300
	1-hour	19.0 ²	197

Pollutant	Averaging Period(s)	Uinta Basin Background Concentration (μg/m³)	NAAQS (μg/m³)
NO ₂	Annual	8.1 ³	100
	1-hour	60.2 ³	188
PM ₁₀	Annual 24-hour	7.0 ⁴ 16.0 ⁴	150
PM _{2.5}	Annual	9.4 ³	15
	24-hour	17.8 ³	35
CO	8-hour	3,450 ⁴	10,000
CO	1-hour	6,325 ⁴	40,000
O ₃	8-hour	100.0 ^{3,5}	75

1 - The 24-hour and annual SO2 NAAQS have been revoked by USEPA

- 2 Based on 2009 data from Wamsutter Monitoring Station Data (USEPA AQS Database)
- 3 Based on 2010/2011 data from Redwash Monitoring Station (USEPA AQS Database)
- 4 Based on 2006 data disclosed in the Greater Natural Buttes FEIS. (BLM, 2012)

5 - Ozone is measured in parts per billion (ppb)

6 - The annual PM10 NAAQS has been revoked by USEPA

Existing point and area sources of air pollution within the Uinta Basin include the following:

- Exhaust emissions (primarily CO, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and HAPs) from existing natural gas fired compressor engines used in transportation of natural gas in pipelines;
- Natural gas dehydrator still-vent emissions of CO, NO_x, PM_{2.5}, and HAPs;
- Gasoline and diesel-fueled vehicle tailpipe emissions of VOCs, NOx, CO, SO2, PM10, and
- Oxides of sulfur (SO_x), NO_x, fugitive dust emissions from coal-fired power plants, and coal mining/ processing;
- Fugitive dust (in the form of PM₁₀ and PM_{2.5}) from vehicle traffic on unpaved roads, wind erosion in areas of soil disturbance, and road sanding during winter months; and,
- Long-range transport of pollutants from distant sources.

Two year-round air quality monitoring sites were established in summer 2009 near Red Wash (southeast of Vernal, Utah) and Ouray (southwest of Vernal). These monitors were certified as Federal Reference Monitors in fall of 2011, which means they can be used to make a NAAQS compliance determination. The complete EPA Ouray and Redwash monitoring data can be found at: http://www.epa.gov/airexplorer/index.htm

Both monitoring sites have recorded numerous exceedences of the 8-hour ozone standard during the winter months (January through March 2010, 2011, and 2013). It is thought that high concentrations of ozone are being formed under a "cold pool" process. This process occurs when stagnate air conditions form with very low mixing heights under clear skies, with snow-covered ground, and abundant sunlight. These conditions, combined with area precursor emissions (NO_x and VOCs), can create intense episodes of ozone. The high numbers did not occur in January through March 2012 due to a lack of snow cover. This phenomenon has also been observed in similar locations in Wyoming. Winter ozone formation is a newly recognized issue, and the methods of analyzing and managing this problem are still being developed. Existing photochemical models are currently unable to reliably replicate winter ozone formation. This is due to the very low mixing heights associated with unique meteorology of the ambient conditions. Further

research is needed to definitively identify ozone precursor sources that contribute to observed ozone concentrations.

The 2005 Castlepeak-Eightmile Flat EIS analyzed air quality impacts, including estimates of VOC and NO_x emissions for existing and future activities in the Greater Monument Butte Unit. A VOC and NO_x emissions inventory of Newfield's existing operations was completed to determine if emissions associated with current and near future infrastructure, drilling, and production is within the scope of the Castlepeak and Eightmile Flat EIS. As shown in **Table 3-2** below, due to changing technology the current emissions for the Greater Monument Butte Unit are within the scope of the referenced EIS.

Table 3-2. Castlepeak-Eightmile Flat EIS Emissions vs. Current Emissions

Source	Source Subset	VOC Emissions (tons per year)	NOx Emissions (tons per year)	
EIS Predicted	Existing Permitted Infrastructure	108	230	
Emissions	Drilling ¹	45	568	
Zimissions	Production	1,037	4,311	
	Total	1,190	5,109	
Infrastructure	Current	57	202	
Emissions	Proposed to 2014	18	80	
2011 201 2	Total	75	282	
Drill Rig Emissions	Total	29	129 ²	
	Pumpjack Engines ³	125	1,003	
Production Emissions	Natural Gas Fueled Burners	59	488	
	Stock Tanks	557		
7 2 3	Total	741	1,491	
Total Current Emissions	to all the one consider	845	1,902	

Assumed six Tier 0 rigs drilling 130 wells per year at an engine load factor of 0.47.

The UDAQ conducted limited monitoring of PM_{2.5} in Vernal, Utah in December 2006. During the 2006-2007 winter seasons, PM_{2.5} levels were higher than the PM_{2.5} health standards that became effective in December 2006. The PM_{2.5} levels recorded in Vernal were similar to other areas in northern Utah that experience wintertime inversions. The most likely causes of elevated PM_{2.5} at the Vernal monitoring station are those common to other areas of the western U.S. (combustion and dust) plus nitrates and organics from oil and gas activities in the Basin. PM_{2.5} monitoring that has been conducted in the vicinity of oil and gas operations in the Uinta Basin by the Red Wash and Ouray monitors beginning in summer 2009 have not recorded any exceedences of either the 24 hour or annual NAAQS.

²Assumes three Tier II rigs drilling 200 wells per year at an engine load factor of 0.47. 3Based upon 1.8 tons per year NOx and 0.58 tons per year VOC per engine.

HAPs are pollutants that are known or suspected to cause cancer or other serious health effects, such as reproductive effects or birth defects, or adverse environmental impacts. The EPA has classified 187 air pollutants as HAPs. Examples of listed HAPs associated with the oil and gas industry include formaldehyde, benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene, isomers of xylene (BTEX) compounds, and normal-hexane (n-hexane). There are no applicable Federal or State of Utah ambient air quality standards for assessing potential HAP impacts to human health.

Greenhouse Gases

Greenhouse gases keep the planet's surface warmer than it otherwise would be. However, as concentrations of these gases increase the Earth's temperature is climbing above past levels. According to NOAA and NASA data, the Earth's average surface temperature has increased by about 1.2 to 1.4° F in the last 100 years. The eight warmest years on record (since 1850) have all occurred since 1998, with the warmest year being 1998. However, according to the British Meteorological Office's Hadley Centre (BMO 2009), the United Kingdom's foremost climate change research center, the mean global temperature has been relatively constant for the past nine years after the warming trend from 1950 through 2000. Predictions of the ultimate outcome of global warming remain to be seen.

The analysis of the Regional Climate Impacts prepared by the U.S. Global Change Research Program (USGCRP) in 2009 suggests that recent warming in the region (including the project area) was nationally among the most rapid. Past records and future projections predict an overall increase in regional temperatures, largely in the form of warmer nights and effectively higher average daily minimum temperatures. They conclude that this warming is causing a decline in spring snowpack and reduced flows in the Colorado River. The USGCRP projects a region-wide decrease in precipitation, although with substantial variability in interannual conditions. For eastern Utah, the projections range from an approximate 5 percent decrease in annual precipitation to decreases as high as 40 percent of annual precipitation.

THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND CANDIDATE PLANT SPECIES

Pariette cactus (Sclerocactus brevispinus)

Pariette cactus is a perennial succulent herb and a member of the cactus family. It is federally listed as threatened and is endemic to the Uinta Basin, specifically the area surrounding the upper Pariette Draw. Morphologically, individuals consist of a spherical to shortened cylindrical perennial succulent shoot, growing as either a solitary shoot or in a clump. Individuals are usually 1.8 to 7 centimeters in diameter and 2.5 to 8 centimeters tall. Each spine cluster, areoles, usually consists of one short (one to five millimeters) central spine, zero to two lateral central spines, and six to seven radial spines. From late April to May, Pariette cactus produces two to three centimeter high pink to violet flowers.

The ecological amplitude of Pariette cactus, as currently understood, is narrow. The preferred habitat consists of flat soil surfaces to rolling hills consisting of xeric, fine textured, alkaline, clay soils, derived from the Uinta formation. Additionally, the soils are generally overlain with a

pavement of smooth, flat, angular stone fragments. The typical plant community in Pariette cactus habitat is salt desert shrub.

Uinta Basin hookless cactus (Sclerocactus wetlandicus)

Uinta Basin hookless cactus is a perennial herb and a member of the cactus family. It is federally listed as threatened and is endemic to the Uinta Basin. It consists of a perennial succulent shoot, solitary or rarely branching, globose, ovoid or cylindrical. Individuals are usually 3 to 9 centimeters in diameter and 4 to 12 centimeters. Each spine cluster, areoles, usually consists of one large (15 to 29 millimeters) central spine, three to four lateral central spines, and six to ten radial spines. From late April to May, Pariette cactus produces 2.5 to 5 centimeter high pink to violet flowers.

The ecological amplitude of Uinta Basin hookless cactus is wide, being found from clay badlands up to the pinyon-juniper habitat. The preferred habitat occurs on river benches, valley slopes, and rolling hills consisting of xeric, fine textured, clay soils, derived from the Duchesne River, Green River, Mancos, and Uinta formations, overlain with a pavement of large, smooth, rounded cobble. The typical plant community in Uinta Basin hookless cactus habitat is the salt desert shrub community.

Sclerocactus sp. survey results

The proposed project area was surveyed to a distance of 100 feet from the edge of the proposed project area by Rana Environmental Consulting during October, 2012. During this survey, no plants were identified within the survey area.

USFWS THREATENED AND ENDANGERED ANIMAL SPECIES

Colorado River Fish Species:

The USFWS has identified four federally listed fish species historically associated with the Upper Colorado River Basin, including the Green River, as being within the project area: Colorado pikeminnow (Ptychocheilus lucius), humpback chub (Gila cypha), bonytail (Gila elegans), and razorback sucker (Xyrauchen texanus). These fish are federally and state-listed as endangered and have experienced severe population declines due to flow alterations, habitat loss or alteration, and introduction of non-native fish species. The Green River and its 100-year floodplain have been designated Critical Habitat for these four endangered fish species (USFWS 1994).

CHAPTER 4 ENVIRONMENTAL EFFECTS

DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS

AIR QUALITY

This Proposed Action is considered to be a minor air pollution source under the Clean Air Act and is not controlled by regulatory agencies. At present, control technology is not required by regulatory agencies since the Uinta Basin is designated as unclassified/attainment. The Proposed Action would result in different emission sources associated with two project phases: well development and well production. Annual estimated emissions from the Proposed Action are summarized in **Table 4-1**.

Table 4-1. Proposed Action Annual Emissions (tons/year) 1

Development	Production	Total
3.47	0.97	4.45
	1.83	2.94
	1.83	2.17
		0.02
		5.84
		0.70
0.10	0.60	
0.00	0.00	0.01
0.00	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00
	0.00	0.00
		0.00
0.00		
0.00		0.04
	0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00	1.10 1.83 0.33 1.83 0.02 0.00 0.41 5.43 0.10 0.60 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00

¹ Emissions include 1 producing well(s) and associated operations traffic during the year in which the project is developed.

Well development includes NO_x, SO₂, and CO tailpipe emissions from earth-moving equipment, vehicle traffic, drilling, and completion activities. Fugitive dust concentrations would occur from vehicle traffic on unpaved roads and from wind erosion where soils are disturbed. Drill rig and fracturing engine operations would result mainly in NO_X and CO emissions, with lesser amounts of SO₂. These emissions would be short-term during the drilling and completion phases.

During well production, continuous NO_x , CO, VOC, and HAP emissions would originate from well pad separators, condensate storage tank vents, and daily tailpipe and fugitive dust emissions from operations traffic. Road dust $(PM_{10} \text{ and } PM_{2.5})$ would also be produced by vehicles servicing the wells.

Under the proposed action, emissions of NO_x and VOC, ozone precursors, are 4.45 tons/yr for NO_x, and 0.70 tons/yr of VOC (**Table 4-1**). Emissions would be dispersed and/ or diluted to the extent where any local ozone impacts from the Proposed Action would be indistinguishable from background conditions.

The primary sources of HAPs are from oil storage tanks and smaller amounts from other production equipment. Small amounts of HAPs are emitted by construction equipment. These emissions are estimated to be minor and less than 1 ton per year.

Greenhouse Gases

The assessment of greenhouse gas emissions and climate change remains in its earliest stages of formulation. Applicable EPA rules do not require any controls and have yet to establish any emission limits related to GHG emissions or impacts. The lack of scientific models that predict climate change on regional or local level prohibits the quantification of potential future impacts of decisions made at the local level, particularly for small scale projects such as the Proposed Action. Drilling and development activities from the

Proposed Action are anticipated to release a negligible amount of greenhouse gases into the local air-shed

Mitigation

- All internal combustion equipment would be kept in good working order.
- Water or other approved dust suppressants would be used at construction sites and along roads, as determined appropriate by the Authorized Officer.
- Open burning of garbage or refuse would not occur at well sites or other facilities.
- Drill rigs would be equipped with Tier II or better diesel engines
- Low bleed pneumatics would be installed on separator dump valves and other controllers.
- During completion, not venting would occur, and flaring would be limited as much as
 possible. Production equipment and gathering lines would be installed as soon as
 possible.
- Telemetry will be installed to remotely monitor and control production.
- When feasible, two or more rigs (including drilling and completion rigs) will not be run simultaneously within 200 meters of each other. If two or more rigs must be run simultaneously within 200 meters of each other, then effective public health buffer zones out to 200 meters (m) from the nearest emission source will be implemented. Examples of an effective public health protection buffer zone include the demarcation of a public access exclusion zone by signage at intervals of every 250 feet that is visible from a distance of 125 feet during daylight hours, and a physical buffer such as active surveillance to ensure the property is not accessible by the public during drilling operations. Alternatively, the proponent may demonstrate compliance with the 1-hour NO2 National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) with appropriate and accepted near-field modeling. As part of this demonstration, the proponent may propose alternative mitigation that could include but is not limited to natural gas—fired drill rigs, installation of NOX controls, time/use restrictions, and/or drill rig spacing.
- All new and replacement internal combustion gas field engines of less than or equal to 300 design-rated horse power must not emit more than 2 grams of NOx per horsepowerhour. This requirement does not apply to gas field engines of less than or equal to 40 design-rated horsepower-hour.
- All new and replacement internal combustion gas field engines of greater than 300 design rated horsepower must not emit more than 1.0 grams of NOx per horsepower-hour.
- Green completions would be used for all well completion activities where technically feasible.
- Enhanced VOC emission controls with 95% control efficiency would be employed on production equipment having a potential to emit greater than 5 tons per year.

THREATENED, ENDANGERED, AND CANDIDATE PLANT SPECIES

Sclerocactus sp.

As there is no new surface disturbance associated with the proposed project and as there are no plants within 100 feet of the proposed project, no direct physical damage will occur to *Sclerocactus* sp. individuals as a result of the Proposed Action.

Possible dispersed direct and indirect negative impacts which may result from implementation of the Proposed Action include: loss of suitable habitat, loss of habitat and forage opportunities for pollinators of the species, habitat modification by invasive weed species which may compete with individuals, accidental spray or drift of herbicides used during invasive plant control, and the

deposition of fugitive dust from construction activities and vehicle traffic on unpaved roads. Due to these indirect negative impacts the Proposed Action warrants a "may affect, is not likely to adversely affect" determination for Pariette and Uinta Basin hookless cactus. The proposed project is within the scope of Section 7 Consultation completed for Newfield's Infield Development Project. Therefore, consultation on this project has already been completed.

USFWS THREATENED AND ENDANGERED ANIMAL SPECIES

Colorado River Fish Species

The Proposed Action would result in up to 1.75 acre-feet of water depletion from removal of water from the Upper Colorado River Drainage System for construction and drilling operations and road maintenance. Decreased stream-flows impact aquatic habitat and fish populations by reducing, or eliminating both the extent and quality of suitable habitat by increasing stream temperatures, and subsequently, by reducing dissolved oxygen levels. Such impacts may be more pronounced during periods of natural cyclic flow reductions during fall and winter or during summer months during periods of drought. A loss of streamflow can also reduce a stream's ability to transport sediment downstream and result in an increase deposition which, in turn, can impact the numbers and diversity of benthic macro invertebrates and ultimately, aquatic habitat. Eroded material may be delivered to streams as fine sediment and deposited in channels or transported downstream. The actual amount of sediment from these land disturbing activities that reaches stream channels or still water bodies would be a result of numerous factors including the location of roads, number of road/stream crossings, slope steepness and length, amount of exposed soil, type of vegetation in the area, frequency and intensity of rainfall, soil type and the implementation and effectiveness of BMPs. Sediment loads, above background levels, can reduce pool depths, bury stream substrates and spawning gravels, adhere to aquatic insects and the gills of fish, alter channel form and function, and result in other forms of habitat degradation. Improperly placed, shaped, and sized culverts in roads can also act as fish barriers on key streams or exacerbate erosion and cause headcutting. Elevated salinity levels, over extended periods of time, may become toxic for aquatic ecosystems and fish species, including Colorado River Endangered Species.

This depletion will be mitigated through payment of a depletion fee to the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service, which uses the monies from depletion fees paid to acquire water rights and return water to the river system.

As well as being impacted by water depletion, endangered larval fish are very small (0.5 inches total length) and incapable of directed swimming from the time of hatching through the first 2-4 wks of their life. Depending on the water year, larval fish may be present in the Green, Colorado, Gunnison and Yampa Rivers from as early as April 1 to as late as August 31 (earlier in dry years; later in wet years). Pumping water directly from any of the rivers could result in entrainment and death of larval fish.

Mitigation:

6. The best method to avoid entrainment is to pump from an off-channel location – one that does not connect to the river during high spring flows. An infiltration gallery constructed in a service approved location is best.

- 7. If the pump head is located in the river channel the following stipulations apply:
 - a. Do not situate the pump in a low-flow or no-flow area as these habitats tend to concentrate larval fishes.
 - b. Limit the amount of pumping, to the greatest extent possible, during that period of the year when larval fish may be present (April 1 to August 1).
 - c. Limit the amount of pumping, to the greatest extent possible, during the midnight hours (10pm to 2 am), as larval drift studies indicate that this is a period of greatest daily activity. Dusk is the preferred pumping time, as larval drift abundance is lowest during this time.
- 8. Screen all pump intakes with 3/32" mesh material.
- 9. Approach velocities for intake structures should follow the National Marine Fisheries Service's document "fish screening criteria for anadromous salmonids". For projects with an in-stream intake that operate in stream reaches where larval fish may be present, the approach velocity should not exceed 0.33 feet per second (ft/s).
- 10. Report any fish impinged on the intake screen or entrained into irrigation canals to the service (801.975.3330) or the Utah Division of Wildlife Resources:

Northeastern Region 152 East 100 North, Vernal, UT 84078 Phone: (435)781-9453

NO ACTION DIRECT AND INDIRECT EFFECTS

Air Quality

Under the No Action Alternative, the proposed gas wells would not be drilled and there would be no additional impacts to air quality. Effects on ambient air quality would continue at present levels from existing oil and gas development in the region and other emission producing sources. The host well pads would continue to exist until the wells on those pads are plugged. Dust and other emissions from the existing wells will continue at current higher levels because the liquids gathering system would not be installed.

USFWS Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Plant Species:

No new surface disturbance would occur under the no action alternative. There would be no impacts to *Sclerocactus* sp. individuals or suitable habitat for the species.

USFWS Threatened and Endangered Animal Species:

Under the no action alternative, there would be no impacts to threatened and endangered animal species.

CUMULATIVE EFFECTS

Air Quality

Air Quality and Greenhouse Gases: The cumulative impact area for air quality is the Uinta Basin, bounded on all sides by higher terrain, which results in similar climate and dispersion conditions for pollutants in the cumulative impact area. The Greater Natural Buttes Air Quality Technical Support Document, and the Greater Natural Buttes Final EIS section 5.3.1, are incorporated by reference and summarized below. Most of the cumulative emissions in the Uinta Basin are associated with oil and gas exploration and production activities. Consequently, past, present and reasonably foreseeable wells in the Uinta Basin are a part of the cumulative actions considered in this analysis. Table 6 summarizes the 2006 Uinta Basin emissions as well as the incremental impact of this project's alternatives. As indicated in Table 4-2, the Proposed Action comprises a small percentage of the Uinta Basin emissions summary.

Table 4-2. 2006 Uinta Basin Oil and Gas Operations Emissions Summary

Table 4-2. 2006 Uinta Basin Oil and Gas Operations Emissions Summary						
County	NO _x (tpy)	CO (tpy)	SO _x (tpy)	PM (tpy)	VOC (tpy)	
Uintah	6,096	4,133	247	344	45,646	
	995	814	22	40	2,747	
Carbon	3,053	2,448	96	173	19,019	
Duchesne	337	207	16	22	2,360	
Grand		199	9	14	453	
Emery	273			592	70,226	
Uinta Basin Total	10,754	7,800	391			
Proposed Action	1.11	1.88	0.00	6.05	1.85	
No Action	0	0	0	0	0	

Source: Greater Natural Buttes Final EIS Table 5.3-1.

The GNB model predicted the following impacts to air quality and air quality related values for the GNB Proposed Action, which encompassed 3,675 new wells:

- Cumulative impacts from criteria pollutants to ambient air quality are well below the NAAQS at Class I airsheds and selected Class II areas;
- The incremental impacts to visibility would be virtually impossible to discern and would not contribute to regional haze at the Class I areas;
- The 2018 projected baseline emissions would result in impacts of 1.0 deciview for at least 201 days per year at the Class II areas;
- Discernible impacts at Flaming Gorge National Recreation Area and Dinosaur National Monument were anticipated;
- Less than 1 percent would be contributed to the acid deposition in Class I areas, and 4.3 percent at the Flaming Gorge Class II area;

- Acid deposition impacts at sensitive lakes would be below the USFS screening threshold; and,
- Ozone levels would be below the current ozone standard of 75 parts per billion (ppb) for the fourth highest annual level in the Uinta Basin for the 2018 projected baseline, and the proposed action would be approximately 3.2 percent of the cumulative ozone impact within the Uinta Basin.

Based on the GNB model results, it is anticipated that the impact to ambient air quality and air quality related values associated with the Proposed Action would be indistinguishable from, and dwarfed by, the margin of uncertainty associated with the model and Uinta Basin emission inventory. The No Action alternative would not result in an accumulation of impacts.

Threatened, Endangered, and Candidate Plant Species Pariette cactus (<u>Sclerocactus brevispinus</u>)

The CIAA for Pariette cactus is the area delineated by the USFWS as potential habitat for the species. This area covers approximately 115,900 acres on BLM, Ute tribal, state of Utah, and privately held lands. Within the CIAA, there are approximately 426 miles of roads. Past, present and reasonably foreseeable disturbance from oil and gas will affect 10,932 acres (9.43% of the CIAA), as shown below. Cumulative impacts include dust impacts to plants, and plant and pollinator habitat destruction. Surface disturbance is a good indicator of the extent of these cumulative impacts.

	Project Area Acreage	Surface Disturbance Analyzed	Project Area Acreage within the CIAA	Surface Disturbance within the CIAA ¹
Ongoing Field Dev	elopment/			
Gasco EIS	236,165	3,604	6,692	102 acres
Greater Natural Buttes Project EIS	162,911	8,147	17	0 acres
Rocky Point Exploration and Development Agreement Leasing and Exploratory Drilling EA	92,098	340	11,344	42 acres
Past Developments	and Curren	t and Future I	Developments Not	Covered by a Field
Development NEPA	A Document			V = =
85 abandoned wells ^{2,3}	NA ⁴	NA	NA	422 acres
1,082 existing wells ^{2,3}	NA	NA	NA	4,230 acres
85 proposed wells ^{2,3}	NA	NA	NA	422 acres
Field				

Development				
Proposals				
Monument Butte	119,850	15,612	36,308	4,730 acres
Area Oil and Gas	113,000	10,122		
Development	175			
Project EIS				N III
Randlett EDA	53,380	2,613	20,098	984 acres
Area				
Programmatic				
Leasing and				
Exploration			_	
Project				
Total CIAA distur	bance from	oil and gas		(0.429/)
				10,932 acres (9.43%)
Current Project				
Proposed Action	NA	NA	NA	0 acres
No Action	All of			(0.4000)
Total CIAA				10,932 acres (9.43%)
disturbance from				
oil and gas	- 1			
¹ Assumes surface distur	thance was an	thorized evenly	across the analysis ar	e of the document.
² Hes the assumption	contained wit	hin the Greater	Uinta Basin Cumula	ative Impacts Technical Suppor
Document.				
³ As of 4/10/2013				

Due to inclusions of areas of unsuitable habitat within the potential habitat area, the total acreage of suitable habitat is less than 115,900 acres. However, a complete survey of suitable habitat has not been performed and thus the amount of suitable habitat has not been quantified. Impacts to the species from past, current, and reasonably foreseeable actions may be greater or smaller than those described for the total area depending upon the exact distribution of actions relative to suitable habitat.

Uinta Basin hookless cactus (Sclerocactus wetlandicus)

⁴NA = not applicable

The CIAA for Uinta Basin hookless cactus is the area delineated by the USFWS as potential habitat for the species. This area covers approximately 537,564 acres on BLM, Ute tribal, state of Utah, and privately held lands. Within the CIAA, there are approximately 1,875 miles of roads. Past, present and reasonably foreseeable disturbance from oil and gas will affect 44,684 acres (8.3%) acres (X% of the CIAA), as shown below. Cumulative impacts include dust impacts to plants, and plant and pollinator habitat destruction. Surface disturbance is a good indicator of the extent of these cumulative impacts.

	Project Area	Surface Disturbanc	Project Area Acreage within	Surface Disturbane within the CIAA
	Acreage		the CIAA	within the CIAA
Ongoing Field De		1 1 1 1 1 1 2 Cd	the CIAA	
Chapita Wells- Stagecoach Area	31,872	1,735	22,678	1,235
Gasco Natural Gas Field Development EIS		3,604	77,339	1,180
Greater Deadman Bench Oil and Gas Producing Region EIS	98,785	1,239	22,444	282
Greater Natural Buttes Project EIS	162,911	8,147	97,529	4,877
North Alger Natural Gas Expansion Project EA	2,320	192	943	78
North Chapita Natural Gas Well Development Project EA	31,872	1,735	9,191	500
River Bend Unit Infill Development EA	17,719	924	14,892	823
Rock Point EDA Leasing and Exploratory Drilling EA	92,098	340	11,344	42
Saddletree Draw Leasing and Rock House Development EA	4,826	106	4,774	105
Area Natural Gas Well Development Project EA	24,813	608	1,070	26
	137,930	1,603	30,704	357 acres
ast Developments a	nd Current	and Future D	evelopments Not	Covered by a Field
evelopment NEPA	Document			
vells ^{,3}	NA ⁴	NA	NA :	3,565 acres
239 existing [] rells,3	NA]	NA	NA	19,158 acres

752 proposed well ³	NA	NA	NA	2,377 acres
		-dialitic in		
Field Development	40,027	3,696	31,741	2,931
Greater Chapita	40,027	3,090	31,7 11	
Wells Natural Gas				v = 10 110 110
Infill Project EIS	110 050	15 (10	43,964	5,727
Monument Butte	119,850	15,612	45,904	3,727
Area Oil and Gas				
Development				
Project EIS	<u> </u>			1 411
Randlett EDA	53,380	2,613	28,817	1,411
Area		_ =		
Programmatic		1		99
Leasing and				
Exploration	1			
Project		E 1 E E		
Total CIAA distur	bance fron	oil and gas		
	T			44,674 acres (8.3%)
Current Project				1 n n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1 n 1
	NA	NA	NA	9.5
Proposed Action	NA NA	NA NA	NA NA	0
No Action			1112	
Total CIAA distu	rbance from	топ апо даз	T	44,684 acres (8.3%)
		1 1-1-	1	
Assumes surface distu	rbance was au	thorized evenly	across me analysis are	ive Impacts Technical Support
	contained wit	nm the Greater	Omia Dasin Camalac	
Document.				
³ As of 4/8/2013				
⁴ NA = not applicable				

Due to inclusions of areas of unsuitable habitat within the potential habitat area, the total acreage of suitable habitat is less than 537,564 acres. However, a complete survey of suitable habitat has not been performed and thus the amount of suitable habitat has not been quantified. Impacts to the species from past, current, and reasonably foreseeable actions may be greater or smaller than those described for the total area depending upon the exact distribution of actions relative to suitable habitat.

USFWS Threatened and Endangered Fish Species

Colorado River Fish Species

The cumulative impacts analysis area for this resource is the Colorado River system. Cumulative impacts in this area include oil and gas exploration and development, irrigation, urban development, recreational activities, and activities associated with the Upper Colorado River Endangered Fish Recovery Program. Cumulative impacts such as decreased water quality and quantity, decreased habitat quality, habitat fragmentation, and mortality result from decreased stream flow, erosion, improperly placed culverts, elevated salinity, and contamination. Decreased stream-flows reduce or eliminate both the extent and quality of suitable habitat by increasing stream temperatures, and subsequently by reducing dissolved oxygen levels. Such impacts may

be more pronounced during periods of natural cyclic flow reductions (fall and winter or periods of drought). A loss of streamflow can also reduce a stream's ability to transport sediment downstream. Sediment amount is influenced by the number of road/stream crossings, bank slope, amount of exposed soil, type of vegetation in the area, frequency and intensity of rainfall, soil type (amount of salinity), soil contamination, and the implementation and effectiveness of erosion control measures. Sediment loads above background levels can reduce pool depths, bury stream substrates and spawning gravels, adhere to aquatic insects and the gills of fish, alter channel form and function, and result in other forms of habitat degradation. Elevated salinity levels, over extended periods of time, may become toxic for aquatic ecosystems and fish species. In addition, improperly placed, shaped, and sized culverts in roads can act as fish barriers on key streams or exacerbate erosion and cause headcutting.

The No Action Alternative would not result in an accumulation of impacts

CHAPTER 5 TRIBES, INDIVIDUALS, ORGANIZATIONS, OR AGENCIES CONSULTED

Well	Onsite Date	Landowner Attendance	Surface Owner	Surface Owner Agreement Signed
104-5-9-17	12/20/2012		Utah State Institutional Trust Lands Agency	NA NA

CHAPTER 6 LIST OF PREPARERS

Table 3: List of Preparers				
Name	Title	Responsibilities		
Sheri Wysong	Physical	Team Lead/Air Quality Environmental Justice/Migratory		
	Scientist	Birds/Threatened and Endangered Species		
Aaron Roe	Botanist	Threatened and Endangered Plant Species.		

CHAPTER 7 REFERENCES CITED

- British Meteorological Office (BMO). 2009. British Meteorological Office's Hadley Centre, 2009. Accessed January 2009 at http://www.metoffice.gov.uk/climatechange/science/monitoring/.
- BLM 2008. BLM National Environmental Policy Act Handbook H-1790-1, Appendix 1.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2005. Final Environmental Impact Statement and Record of Decision for the Castle Peak and Eight Mile Flat Oil and Gas Expansion Project, Newfield Rocky Mountains, Inc., Uintah and

- Duchesne Counties, Utah. U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Vernal District Office.
- Bureau of Land Management (BLM). 2011. Newfield Production Company 20acre Infill Development Program. Biological Assessment U.S. Department of the Interior, Bureau of Land Management, Vernal District Office.
- BLM. 2012. Final Environmental Impact Statement for the Greater Natural Buttes
- Federal Register. 2007. Onshore Oil and Gas Operations; Federal and Indian Oil and Gas Leases; Onshore Oil and Gas Order Number 1, Approval of Operations; Final Rule [43 CFR 3164.1 & 43 CFR 3162.3-1 & 43 CFR 3165.3(b)
- Newfield. 2009. Newfield Exploration Company Castle Peak and Eight Mile Flat Reclamation and Weed Management Plan.
- Parrish, J.R., F.P. Howe and R.E. Norvell. 2002. Utah Partners in Flight Avian Conservation Strategy Version 2.0. Utah Partners in Flight Program, Utah Division of Wildlife Resources, 1594 West North Temple, Salt Lake City, Utah 84116. UDWR Publication Number 02-27. i - xiv + 302 pp.
- U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service. (USFWS). 1994. Final Rule: Determination of critical habitat for the Colorado River endangered fishes: Razorback sucker, Colorado squawfish, humpback chub, and bonytail chub. Federal Register 59: 13375-13400.